Interview Summary	Application No. Applicant(s) 09/504,803 Edelstein et al			
	Examiner Daniel Felten		Art Unit 3624	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Daniel Felten	(3) <u>Kevin Arthu</u>	r		
(2) Todd M. Oberdick (Reg. No. 44268)	(4)			
Date of Interview Dec 4, 2002	_			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes				
Claim(s) discussed: 1-53 Identification of prior art discussed: Hawkins et al '146				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	al nature of what was	agreed to i	f an agreement wa	
The interview entailed a discussion of how the application set forth in the upcoming RCE.	on reads over the prior	art. Amer	ndments to claims	1-53 will be
				1.00
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the ex copy of the amendme	aminer agre	eed would render the cla	ne claims ims allowable is
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the su	bstance of t	the interview (if bo	x is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MINISTER OF THE INTERVIEW.)	PEP section 713.04).	If a reply to	o the last Office ac	ction has

VINCENT MILLIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Jaterview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required